

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/19/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/615,154	07/08/2003	Michael A. Epstein	PHA 23,636A	8245	
7590 12/19/2003			EXAMINER		
Corporate Patent Counsel			LABAZE, EDWYN		
Philips Intellectual Property & Standards P.O. Box 3001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510-8001			2876		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				\bowtie
	Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	
: 1	10/615,1	54	EPSTEIN, MICHAEL A.	
Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit	
	EDWYN	LABAZE	2876	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the	e cover sheet with the	correspondence a	address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT! - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no events on. , a reply within the state period will apply and w statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tutory minimum of thirty (30) d vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro lication to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered tim on the mailing date of this NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	23 November 2	<u>003</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is no	on-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un				ne merits is
Disposition of Claims				
 4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 and 8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 7 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and continuous formula. 	thdrawn from co			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa		_		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)				
Applicant may not request that any objection t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the o	• ,	•	, ,	OER 1 121(d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by t	-			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	oreign priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do since a specific reference was included in t 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do reference was included in the first sentence	ments have been priority documents for Rul a list of the certion mestic priority under the first sentence per provisional appressic priority under the provisional appressic priority under the provisional appressic priority under the priority	en received in Application rec	ved in this National ved. 9(e) (to a provision or in an Applicatio eceived. 20 and/or 121 sinc	al application) n Data Sheet. e a specific
Attachment(s)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N			ary (PTO-413) Paper N Il Patent Application (P'	

Application/Control Number: 10/615,154

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Receipt is acknowledged of IDS filed on 7/8/2003.
- 2. This application is a divisional of application No. 09/454,350 (now US patent 6,601,046) filed in 12/30/1999 and claims the benefits of application no. 60/126,167 filed in 3/25/1999.
- 3. Claims 1-8 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Marshall et al. (U.S. 4,933,969).

Re claim 1: Marshall et al. discloses data authentication and protection system, which includes a memory [or storage means 19 as shown in Fig. # 1 of Marshall et al.] that is configured to store content material [which could a message, a song, or the like] (col.4, lines 55+; col.5, lines 14+), a usage indicator 40 that is configured to record a measure of usage associated with the content material of this recording medium (col.7, lines 43-67; col.8, lines 1-67), and a baseline register 32 that is configured to store at least one baseline-storage parameter that facilitates a determination of a validity period [using the message authentication code/MAC 42] associate with the content material based on the usage indicator (col.9, lines 53-67; col.10, lines 1-67).

Application/Control Number: 10/615,154

Art Unit: 2876

Re claim 2: Marshall et al. teaches a system, wherein the usage indicator includes a counter 40 that is configured to be incremented a playback device when the playback device

Page 3

accesses the content material (col.7, lines 45-55; col.8, lines 54-65).

Re claim 3: Marshall et al. discloses a system, wherein the at least one baseline-storage

parameter includes a copy/receipt of the measure of usage of the recording medium when the

content material is stored in the memory (col.9, lines 40-52; col.15, lines 11-67; col. 16, lines 1-

38).

Re claim 4: Marshall et al. teaches a system, wherein the at least one baseline-storage

parameter includes also includes a usage limit [using a timer 98] that facilitates the determination

of the validity period (col.20, lines 66-68; col.21, lines 21+).

Re claims 5 and 6: Marshall et al. discloses a system, wherein the at least one baseline-

storage parameter is stored and associated with the content material/message in the baseline

register in a secure form that facilitates a determination of an authenticity of the at least one

baseline-usage parameter (col.9, lines 53-68; col.10, lines 1-49).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marshall et al.

(U.S. 4,933,969) in view of England et al. (U.S. 6,327,652).

The teachings of Marshall et al. have been discussed above.

Marshall et al. fails to fails a digital signature bound to at least one baseline parameter.

England et al. discloses loading and identifying a digital rights management operating system, which includes a digital signature bound to at least one baseline parameter (col.8, lines 40-67; col.9, lines 1-29; col.11, lines 53-67; col.12, lines 1-52)

In view of England et al.'s teachings, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ into the teachings of Marshall et al. a means of instructing the program to verifying a digital signature associated with the content material. Furthermore, such instruction would represent an additional parameter for determining the authenticity of the user, providing more security, and protecting the trusted right by allowing access of the downloaded content to a validated subscriber. Moreover, such modification would have been an obvious extension as taught by Marshall et al., therefore an obvious expedient.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record, Marshall et al., cited by the examiner taken alone or in combination with any other references fail to teach a content material comprising of a watermark and wherein the

Application/Control Number: 10/615,154

Art Unit: 2876

at least one baseline parameter includes a ticket that is based on a hash value of the watermark.

These limitations in conjunction with other limitations in the claimed invention were not shown

by the prior art of record.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Van De Pavert (U.S. 5,914,471) discloses method and apparatus for recording usage data

of a card operating devices.

Kocher et al. (U.S. 6,289,455) teaches method and apparatus for preventing piracy of

digital content.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to EDWYN LABAZE whose telephone number is (703) 305-5437.

The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (703) 305-3503. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

el

Edwyn Labaze Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2876

December 5, 2003

THIEN M. LE PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5